A checklist for HTA reports

This summary form is intended as an aid in recording of the extent to which a health technology assessment (HTA) report meets the 15 questions given in the checklist.

It is NOT intended as a scorecard to rate the standard of HTA reports – reports may be valid and useful without meeting all the criteria that have been listed.

An introduction, background, objective, context of material in the HTA checklist, etc is available at <u>www.inahta.org/HTA/Checklist</u>.

Reference of report	BONE TARGETING AGENTS (BTAs) IN PREVENTING SKELETAL RELATED EVENTS (SREs) FOR METASTATIC CANCERS OF SOLID TUMOURS PATIENTS
Organization (acronym)	MaHTAS
Name of person completing the checklist	SYFUL AZLIE MD FUZI
Email	syful.azlie@moh.gov.my

Item							Yes	Pa	artly	No	
Preliminary											
1. Appropriate contact details for further information?							\checkmark				
2. Authors identified?							\checkmark				
3. Statement regarding conflict of interest?							\checkmark				
4. Statement on whether report externally reviewed?							\checkmark				
5. Short summary in non-technical language?								\checkmark			
Why?											
6. Reference to the policy question that is addressed?											
7. Reference to the research question that is addressed?							\checkmark				
8. Scope of the assessment specified?							\checkmark				
9. Description of the assessed health technology?							\checkmark				
How?											
10. Details on so	urces of	informatio	on and literature	search	strategies p	orovide	ed?				
Search	Databases Year Language				F	Primary		Other kind of			
strategy			range	restriction			data		information		
2	1	J	al		2		2		resources		
Complete refere	nce list	v	List of		Inclusion		v	 F		 Exclusion	
of included studies		excluded studies		criteria			criteria				
\checkmark			\checkmark	\checkmark				\checkmark			
11. Information o	n basis f	or the as	sessment and int	erpreta	ation of selec	cted da	ata and i	infor	mation	?	
Method of data		Critical appraisal		Method of data			Results of the				
extraction described?		method (for quality assessment of the		synthesis described		bed?	assessment clearly presented, eg, in the forr				
			re) described?				of evidence tables?				
\checkmark			\checkmark		\checkmark		\checkmark				
Context?			·								
12. Information o	n contex	t (may or	may not apply to ea	ach HT/	4).						
(Medico-) legal	Economic		Ethical				Other perspectives				
implications considered?		nalysis	implications considered?		implications considered?		(stakeholders, patients, consumers) considered?				
-	pr	provided? co		red? considered? $$		euí					
- √ √ √							Yes	Pa	artly	No	
13. Findings of the assessment discussed?									-		
14. Conclusions from assessment clearly stated?							√				
15. Suggestions for further action?											